

# Drunk with Power: Industry Kills Alcohol Mitigation Fees in California in 2010

---



## Executive Summary

The alcohol industry continued its ever-present dominance at all levels of California politics in 2010, spending 5 million dollars on campaign donations; lobbying state and local lawmakers; and contributing to political action committees in support of state initiative Proposition 26.

<b>2010 Summary of Alcohol Industry Political Spending</b>	
	<b>Amount</b>
Support for Proposition 26	\$2,110,209
State Senate and Assembly Campaigns	\$1,572,939
Lobbying Firms – State	\$1,307,771
Lobbying Firms – San Francisco	\$10,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$5,000,919</b>

Big Alcohol was triumphant in 2010: Its \$5 million investment in political spending helped the industry avoid coughing up \$1.4 billion, just a small part of the costs due to alcohol-related harm California faces each year. Ultimately, California youth, communities, and government agencies continue to shoulder the sizeable burden of harmful costs and consequences from alcohol use, with ever-decreasing resources to adequately address the harm.

## **Drunk with Power: Industry Kills Alcohol Mitigation Fees in California in 2010**

Despite significant efforts on both state and local levels to enact alcohol mitigation fees in California last year, the alcohol industry's substantive donations to policymakers paid off in their favor. In all, alcohol producers, distributors, and trade groups spent nearly \$3 million in California in 2010 on political donations and lobbying. What they got in return included defeats to major state and local public health proposals: California Assembly Bills to establish a state alcohol mitigation fee, and the San Francisco Alcohol Cost Recovery Fee. Alcohol lobbyists also focused on at least 49 state-level bills covering issues such as alcohol taxes, fee programs, alcohol licenses, and inter-state shipping of wine.

Finally, the alcohol industry closed out the year by joining the California Chamber of Commerce and oil, gas, and tobacco conglomerates to sponsor the Proposition 26 campaign, to the tune of more than \$2 million. The industry injects millions of dollars into the pockets of politicians each year to combat efforts to establish alcohol mitigation fees. In 2010, California saw even more of the same.

### **Campaign Contributions**

Incumbent Senators and Assembly Members in California accepted a total of \$1,572,939 in contributions from the alcohol industry in 2010. Southern Wine and Spirits Association, Anheuser-Busch InBev, California Beer and Beverage Distributors, Wine Institute, and Young's Market Distributors were the largest contributors. In addition, Altria Services, the umbrella corporate owner of St. Michelle Winery and Philip Morris USA Inc. (owner of a 27.5% stake in SABMiller), contributed \$200,600.

#### **2010 Alcohol Contributors to Senate and Assembly Campaigns**

<b>Company or Trade Organization</b>	<b>Contributions Made</b>
Southern Wine & Spirits Association	\$270,205
Anheuser-Busch InBev	\$253,874
California Beer & Beverage Distributors	\$176,695
Wine Institute	\$173,169
Young's Market Distributors	\$146,750
E&J Gallo Winery	\$121,791
Diageo	\$100,210
MillerCoors	\$73,655
Small wineries and vineyards, consulting agencies, management firms, and other wine-related companies	\$48,100
California Association of Winegrape Growers	\$43,659
Distilled Spirits Council of the U.S.	\$42,963
Family Winemakers of California	\$26,102
Constellation Brands	\$27,339

## 2010 Contributors to Senate and Assembly Campaigns (continued)

Company or Trade Organization	Contributions Made
Ketel One/Nolet Spirits	\$17,350
Markstein Beverage	\$16,402
Bronco Winery/Fred Franzia/John Franzia Jr.	\$12,600
Heineken	\$8,000
Allied Beverages Inc.	\$5,200
Brown-Forman	\$3,000
Allied Grape Growers of California	\$1,750
Bacardi	\$1,500
Pacific Beverage	\$1,500
Kendall Jackson Family Wine	\$1,125
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$1,572,939</b>

The five state Senators who accepted the most in alcohol contributions received \$286,812 in total. The five Assembly Members who accepted the most alcohol donations received a total of \$223,815.

## 2010 Campaign Contributions from Alcohol Companies

Senators	Contributions Accepted
Darrell Steinberg (D-Sacramento)	\$96,500
Sam Blakeslee (R-San Luis Obispo)*	\$60,600
Alex Padilla (D-Pacoima)	\$53,645
Bill Emmerson (R-Hemet)*	\$39,200
Gloria Negrete McLeod (D-Chino)	\$36,867
Assembly Members	Contributions Accepted
Michael Villines (R-Clovis)	\$47,970
Kevin de Leon (D-Los Angeles)	\$47,796
Isadore Hall (D-Los Angeles)	\$47,672
Noreen Evans (D-Santa Rosa)	\$42,177
Felipe Fuentes (D-Los Angeles)	\$38,200

\*Blakeslee and Emmerson were elected State Senators in special elections in 2010, after serving as Assembly Members from 2004-2010.

Several California legislators did not accept or receive campaign contributions from alcohol corporations or trade groups in 2010. Senators Elaine Alquist (D-Santa Clara),

Mark Leno (D-San Francisco), Alan Lowenthal (D-Long Beach), Gloria Romero (D-Los Angeles); and Assembly Members Juan Arambula (I-Fresno), Jim Beall (D-San Jose), Julia Brownley (D-Santa Monica), Mike Davis (D-Los Angeles), Chuck DeVore (R-Irvine), Lori Saldana (D-San Diego), Tom Torlakson (D-Martinez) and Mariko Yamada (D-Davis) did not report any contributions from alcohol industry members.

### **Lobbying at the State Level**

Many of the alcohol producers, distributors, and related organizations that contributed large amounts to state election campaigns also paid significant amounts to lobbying firms to support industry interests. Big Alcohol spent more than \$1.3 million to lobby state government on at least 49 proposed bills in 2010. Alcohol lobbyists focused on issues such as alcohol taxes, fee programs, alcohol licenses, and inter-state shipping of wine. Other issues included energy efficiency metering, pesticides in school zones, water delivery, and solid waste management.

Five alcohol corporations paid \$830,061 for lobbying services in 2010, more than half of the industry's total lobbying expenditures. Tobacco conglomerate Altria Services spent an additional \$510,158.

### **Alcohol Lobbyist Employers – 2010 Expenditures**

<b>Alcohol Entity</b>	<b>Lobbying Amounts</b>
Diageo	\$220,061
Anheuser-Busch InBev	\$165,000
MillerCoors	\$165,000
Wine Institute	\$142,000
Wine and Spirits Wholesalers of America	\$138,000
California Beer and Beverage Distributors	\$96,000
Distilled Spirits Council of the U.S.	\$87,600
California Association of Winegrape Growers	\$79,683
Family Winemakers of California	\$72,195
Young's Market Distributors	\$53,000
Southern Wine and Spirits Association	\$52,948
Heineken	\$36,284
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$1,307,771</b>

### **Charge for Harm Efforts at the State Level**

State and county government agencies in California face an estimated \$8 billion in total annual costs due to alcohol consumption and related harm (violence and crime, illness, physical injury, and death).<sup>1</sup> Mitigation fee programs, also known as “charge for harm” programs, can recover a portion of the alcohol-related costs, with revenue earmarked specifically for alcohol-related medical, criminal justice, law enforcement, emergency, treatment, and prevention service programs.

Mitigation fee programs are regarded as reasonable public health and safety measures, and many states already charge for alcohol-related harm.<sup>2</sup> The majority of California residents (85%) support a nickel a drink tax.<sup>3</sup> Despite the widespread public support for such measures, the alcohol industry vehemently opposes them, asserting that they threaten corporate profits.

In February 2009, Assembly Member Jim Beall (D-San Jose) introduced Assembly Bill (AB) 1019 to establish an alcoholic beverage surcharge that would direct revenue to the Alcohol-Related Services Program. This bill proposed to assess the equivalent of 10 cents per drink on alcohol producers and wholesalers to pay for alcohol-related trauma care, hospitalization, treatment, prevention, and criminal justice costs. AB 1019 would have raised \$1.4 billion (3.6%) of the \$38 billion in annual costs due to alcohol-related harm in California.

Nearly a year later, the Assembly Health Committee killed AB 1019 by a vote of 5 to 8, with five members of the committee abstaining and six members absent. In an attempt to keep the issue alive, Assembly Member Beall introduced Assembly Bill 1694, a mitigation fee of 5 cents per drink, soon after AB 1019's defeat. Unlike the previous bill, which included small wineries, AB 1694 exempted 79% of all wineries in the state.

Despite the major exemption for wineries and the reduced fee amount, in March 2010 the Assembly Health Committee struck down AB 1694 with another vote of 5 to 8, with six members abstaining. The Assembly Members who were absent or abstained from voting on AB 1019 did the same for AB 1694. This included V. Manuel Perez (D-Cathedral City), Hector de la Torre (D-South Gate), Wilmer Amina Carter (D-Rialto), Pedro Nava (D-Santa Barbara), Mike Eng (D-Monterey Park), and Mary Salas (D-Chula Vista). These lawmakers accepted a total of \$42,700 in contributions from the alcohol industry that year.

The motive for legislators "taking a walk" or "taking a pass" on voting a bill up or down is two-fold: to protect their voting records so as to avoid political repercussions of their vote, and to maintain friendly relations with corporations that contribute to political fundraising efforts. Regardless of these committee members' rationale for not voting, their silent treatment effectively killed AB 1019 and AB 1694.

While both bills made their way to their ultimate demise, the alcohol industry poured plenty of funding into lawmakers' coffers. Alcohol companies and trade organizations contributed a total of \$382,598 to members of the Assembly Health Committee in 2010. The deviation in this picture is Kevin deLeon (D-Los Angeles), who accepted \$47,796 in alcohol contributions but voted in favor of both bills.

When the Alcohol-Related Services Program was not incorporated into the state general budget after AB 1694 died, the issue of mitigation fee programs at the state level slowed to a halt. By failing to pass either of the alcohol mitigation fee bills,

California lawmakers turned away an opportunity to generate substantial funding for critical services to address alcohol-related harm in the state each year.<sup>4</sup>

### 2010 Alcohol Industry Contributions to Assembly Health Committee Members

Assembly Member	Contributions Accepted	AB 1019 Vote	AB 1694 Vote
Kevin de Leon (D-Los Angeles)	\$47,796	Yes	Yes
Isadore Hall (D-Los Angeles)*	\$47,672	No	-
Bill Emmerson (R-Hemet) <sup>†</sup>	\$39,200	No	No
Felipe Fuentes (D-Los Angeles)*	\$38,200	No	-
Ted Gaines (R-Roseville)	\$30,061	No	No
Connie Conway (R-Visalia)	\$25,650	No	No
Nathan Fletcher (R-San Diego), Vice Chair	\$22,050	Absent	-
V. Manuel Perez (D-Cathedral City)	\$20,900	Abstain	Abstain
Cameron Smyth (R-Santa Clarita)	\$18,300	Absent	No
Ed Hernandez (D-Baldwin Park)	\$17,500	Absent	No
Mary Hayashi (D-Hayward)	\$17,119	No	No
Nancy Skinner (D-Berkeley)*	\$10,500	Yes	-
Anthony Adams (R-Hesperia)*	\$10,100	No	No
Hector de la Torre (D-South Gate)	\$8,600	Abstain	Abstain
Wilmer Amina Carter (D-Rialto)	\$7,900	Absent	Abstain
Dave Jones (D-Sacramento)	\$6,500	Yes	Yes
Marty Block (D-San Diego)*	\$3,750	Abstain	-
Pedro Nava (D-Santa Barbara)	\$3,000	Abstain	Abstain
Audra Strickland (R-Camarillo)	\$2,500	No	No
Mike Eng (D-Monterey Park)	\$1,300	Absent	Abstain
Tom Ammiano (D-San Francisco)	\$1,000	Yes	Yes
Bonnie Lowenthal (D-Long Beach)	\$1,000	Yes	Yes
Mary Salas (D-Chula Vista)	\$1,000	Abstain	Abstain
William Monning (D-Santa Cruz), Chair	\$1,000	Absent	Yes

\*Indicates substitution/not an incumbent member of the Assembly Health Committee for the 2009-2010 legislative session.

<sup>†</sup>Emmerson was elected State Senator in a June 2010 special election after serving as an Assembly Member from 2004-2010.

## **Local Charge for Harm Efforts**

---

The alcohol industry's fight to prioritize corporate revenue over public health on the state level also emerged at the local level. In June 2010, San Francisco Supervisor John Avalos introduced the Alcohol Cost Recovery Fee ordinance. The measure proposed a fee of 3 to 5 cents per drink in San Francisco, which translated to \$16 million in revenue for city-funded programs directly related to costs associated with alcohol treatment, prevention, medical transport, and hospitalization.<sup>5</sup> The fee would mitigate some of the \$18.1 million in alcohol-attributable costs that the city and county of San Francisco face every year.<sup>6</sup>

The alcohol industry focused its attention on lobbying at the local level instead of campaign contributions. Five alcohol entities—Beer Institute, Wine Institute, Distilled Spirits Council of the U.S., California Beer and Beverage Distributors, and Wine and Spirits Wholesalers of America—listed Platinum Advisors as their lobbying firm. Surprisingly, no payments were reported received by Platinum Advisors during the calendar year.<sup>7</sup> A Platinum Advisors lobbyist reported contacting Supervisor John Avalos, the legislation's sponsor, regarding the alcohol cost recovery fee on six occasions.

In addition to Platinum Advisors, a Barbary Coast Consulting lobbyist representing the California Music and Culture Association (CMCA) reported meeting with Supervisors Carmen Chu, Bevan Dufty, and Ross Mirkarimi one time each between July and August 2010 to discuss the alcohol fee. During that period, Barbary Coast Consulting received a total of \$10,000 from the CMCA. Also during that time frame, supervisors experienced intensive grassroots and direct lobbying pressure from former donors in their business districts including taverns, restaurants and hospitality industry leaders. No details of these efforts or their expenditures were available from the San Francisco Ethics Commission's database.

Even with these lobbying efforts taking place, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors passed the Alcohol Cost Recovery Fee ordinance in September 2010, by a vote of 6-3. The victory for public health and safety was short-lived, however, as Mayor Gavin Newsom quickly vetoed the measure hours after its passage. Without the eight votes needed to override a mayoral vote, the proposal was killed.

Much like Supervisor Michela Alioto-Pier, who has a wholesale alcohol license, Mayor Newsom is firmly entrenched in the alcohol industry. Newsom has been actively involved in the industry since 1992 when he founded the PlumpJack Group, a hospitality company that owns a range of luxury resorts, restaurants and bars, and wineries throughout Northern California.<sup>8</sup> He is not only the president of Airelle Wines Inc. and a partner of Villa Encinal Partners LP, the enterprises behind the PlumpJack and CADE wineries in Napa Valley, but he is also a shareholder who contributed over \$1 million to each venture. He receives at least \$200,000 in income from the wineries annually.<sup>9</sup> His personal stake in protecting alcohol-related investments, coupled with his upcoming bid for Lieutenant Governor in November, led Newsom to put big business and alcohol interests before the health and safety of San Francisco residents.

## Alcohol Industry Lobbying – San Francisco 2010

Supervisor	Vote	Reported Lobbyist Visits
John Avalos	Yes	6
David Chiu	Yes	0
Chris Daly	Yes	0
Eric Mar	Yes	0
Sophie Maxwell	Yes	0
Ross Mirkarimi	Yes	1
Carmen Chu	No	1
Bevan Dufty	No	1
Sean Elsbernd	No	0
Michela Alioto-Pier	Excused	0
David Campos	Excused	0

### Proposition 26

In May 2010, shortly after the AB 1694 defeat, the California Chamber of Commerce and California Taxpayers' Association announced the "Stop Hidden Taxes" initiative, and Proposition 26 soon qualified as a ballot measure for the November elections. The ballot measure was designed to protect corporations from fees used to mitigate harmful environmental and social impacts, and necessitated that regulatory state and local fees be approved by a supermajority (2/3) vote as opposed to a simple majority (51%). Proposition 26 passed in November by a slim margin of 52.5% supporting to 47.5% opposing.

Along with alcohol, oil and gas, food and beverage, and tobacco were some of the biggest industry contributors to the Proposition 26 campaign. Philip Morris USA Inc., for example, contributed \$2,250,000 in support of Proposition 26. Alcohol conglomerates such as Anheuser-Busch InBev contributed directly to both the Stop Hidden Taxes and the Small Business Action political action committees. In total, alcohol corporations and trade groups contributed \$2,110,209 to support the measure.

Of the alcohol companies that contributed, Anheuser-Busch InBev, the Wine Institute, MillerCoors, Crown Imports, and California Beer and Beverage Distributors contributed the largest amounts, totaling \$1,886,093.



## Top 5 Big Alcohol Contributors in Support of Proposition 26

Alcohol Company or Trade Organization	Contributions Made
Anheuser-Busch InBev	\$925,000
Wine Institute	\$381,093
MillerCoors	\$350,000
Crown Imports LLC	\$130,000
California Beer and Beverage Distributors	\$100,000
Total	\$1,886,093

### **Conclusion**

The alcohol industry continued its ever-present dominance at all levels of California politics in 2010, spending \$5,000,919 on campaign donations; lobbying state and local lawmakers; and contributions to political action committees in support of Proposition 26. Big Alcohol was triumphant in its results: Its \$5 million investment in political donations helped the industry avoid coughing up \$1.4 billion, just a small part of the costs due to alcohol-related harm California faces each year. Ultimately, California youth, communities, and government agencies continue to shoulder the sizeable burden of harmful costs and consequences from alcohol use, with ever-decreasing resources to adequately address the harm.

### **Methodology**

Alcohol industry donations to state Senate and Assembly campaigns and lobbying firms, along with contributions to support Proposition 26, were compiled from the Secretary of State's Cal-Access database.<sup>10, 11</sup> Bill information and voting records were obtained from the California State Legislative Counsel's LegInfo website.<sup>12</sup> San Francisco lobbying firms' and lobbyist employers' statements were obtained from the San Francisco Ethics Commission Lobbyist Public site and the Public Portal for Campaign Finance, Lobbyist and Campaign Consultant Disclosure database.<sup>13, 14</sup>

---

*This report was prepared by Tiffany Tan and Sarah Mart, with assistance from Bruce Lee Livingston.*

*October 3, 2011*

---

## References

- <sup>1</sup> Alcohol Justice (formerly Marin Institute). The Annual Catastrophe of Alcohol in California. 2008. Available at: <http://www.alcoholjustice.org/resources/reports/98-the-annual-catastrophe-of-alcohol-in-california.html/> Accessed June 1, 2011.
- <sup>2</sup> Alcohol Justice (formerly Marin Institute). States that Charge for Harm. 2009. Available at: [http://www.alcoholjustice.org/images/stories/pdfs/states\\_that\\_charge\\_for\\_harm.pdf](http://www.alcoholjustice.org/images/stories/pdfs/states_that_charge_for_harm.pdf). Accessed June 1, 2011.
- <sup>3</sup> Public Policy Institute of California. PPIC Statewide Survey: Californians and their Government. San Francisco, January 2009. Available at: [http://www.ppic.org/content/pubs/survey/S\\_109MBS.pdf](http://www.ppic.org/content/pubs/survey/S_109MBS.pdf). Accessed September 25, 2011.
- <sup>4</sup> Official California Legislative Information. Available at: <http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/>. Accessed June 1, 2011.
- <sup>5</sup> Office of the Controller – Office of Economic Analysis. Establishing an Alcohol Mitigation Fee: Economic Impact Report. City and County of San Francisco. August 4, 2010. Available at: <http://co.sfgov.org/webreports/details.aspx?id=1162>. Accessed June 29, 2011.
- <sup>6</sup> The Lewin Group, Inc. prepared for the City of San Francisco. The cost of alcohol to San Francisco: Analyses supporting an alcohol mitigation fee. June 30, 2010. Available at: [http://alcoholjustice.org/images/stories/pdfs/sanfrancisco\\_fee\\_final\\_report.pdf](http://alcoholjustice.org/images/stories/pdfs/sanfrancisco_fee_final_report.pdf). Accessed September 29, 2011.
- <sup>7</sup> San Francisco Ethics Commission. Lobbyist Activity Details: Chris Gruwell. Available at: <http://system.netfile.com/Sunlight/sf/Lobbyist/Details/2010/3/SFO-151364>. Accessed June 10, 2011.
- <sup>8</sup> PlumpJack Group. Our Principals. Available at: <http://www.plumpjack.com/plumpjack/principals.aspx>. Accessed August 23, 2011.
- <sup>9</sup> Fair Political Practices Commission, California. Form 700 Filings. 2011. Available at: [http://www.fppc.ca.gov/form700/2010/Constitutionals/R\\_Newsom\\_Gavin.pdf](http://www.fppc.ca.gov/form700/2010/Constitutionals/R_Newsom_Gavin.pdf). Accessed August 23, 2011.
- <sup>10</sup> Cal-Access. Campaign Finance. Available at: <http://www.cal-access.ss.ca.gov/Campaign>. Accessed May 25, 2011.
- <sup>11</sup> Cal-Access. Lobbying Activity. Available at: <http://www.cal-access.ss.ca.gov/Lobbying>. Accessed May 25, 2011.
- <sup>12</sup> Official California Legislative Information. Available at: <http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/>. Accessed June 1, 2011.
- <sup>13</sup> San Francisco Ethics Commission. Lobbyist Public Site. Available at: <http://www.sfethics.org/ethics/2009/05/lobbyists-1.html>. Accessed June 10, 2011.
- <sup>14</sup> San Francisco Ethics Commission. City and County of San Francisco Public Portal for Campaign Finance, Lobbyist and Campaign Consultant Disclosure. Available at: <http://nf4.netfile.com/pub2/?aid=sfo>. Accessed June 10, 2011.

## Appendix

---

### Contributions From Alcohol Companies to California Legislators

Senator	Contributions Accepted
Darrell Steinberg (D-Sacramento)	\$96,500
Sam Blakeslee (R-San Luis Obispo)	\$60,600
Alex Padilla (D-Pacoima)	\$53,645
Bill Emmerson (R-Hemet)	\$39,200
Gloria Negrete McLeod (D-Chino)	\$36,867
Roderick Wright (D-Los Angeles)	\$36,350
Mimi Walters (R-Laguna Niguel)	\$33,400
Dean Florez (D-Shafter)	\$33,200
Mark Wyland (R-Escondido)	\$30,100
Jeff Denham (R-Merced)	\$25,050
Tom Harman (R-Huntington Beach)	\$22,600
Lou Correa (D-Santa Ana)	\$21,800
Tony Strickland (R-Thousand Oaks)	\$21,300
Dennis Hollingsworth (R-Murrieta)	\$20,835
Ronald Calderon (D-Montebello)	\$19,279
Bob Dutton (R-Inland Empire)	\$17,751
George Runner (R-Antelope Valley)	\$15,200
Bob Huff (R-Diamond Bar)	\$13,400
Jenny Oropeza (D-Long Beach)	\$13,000
Patricia Wiggins (D-Santa Rosa)	\$11,250
Curren Price (D-Los Angeles)	\$10,900
Leland Yee (D-San Francisco)	\$10,800
Dave Cogdill (R-Fresno)	\$8,150
Denise Moreno Ducheny (D-San Diego)	\$6,126
Sam Aanestad (R-Grass Valley)	\$5,500
Lois Wolk (D-Davis)	\$4,900
Roy Ashburn (R-Bakersfield)	\$3,500
Gil Cedillo (D-Los Angeles)	\$2,200
Ellen Corbett (D-San Leandro)	\$2,000
Carol Liu (D-Pasadena)	\$2,000
Christine Kehoe (D-San Diego)	\$1,500
Mark De Saulnier (D-Concord)	\$1,000
Loni Hancock (D-Berkeley)	\$1,000
Fran Pavley (D-Agoura Hills)	\$1,000
Joe Simitian (D-Palo Alto)	\$1,000

**Contributions From Alcohol Companies to California Legislators (continued)**

<b>Senator</b>	<b>Contributions Accepted</b>
Elaine Alquist (D-Santa Clara)	\$0
Mark Leno (D-San Francisco)	\$0
Alan Lowenthal (D-Long Beach)	\$0
Gloria Romero (D-Los Angeles)	\$0
<b>Assembly Member</b>	<b>Contributions Accepted</b>
Michael Villines (R-Clovis)	\$47,970
Kevin de Leon (D-Los Angeles)	\$47,796
Isadore Hall (D-Los Angeles)	\$47,672
Noreen Evans (D-Santa Rosa)	\$42,177
Felipe Fuentes (D-Los Angeles)	\$38,200
Martin Garrick (R-Carlsbad)	\$37,813
Joel Anderson (R-La Mesa)	\$37,742
Wesley Chesbro (D-Eureka)	\$35,336
Joe Coto (D-San Jose)	\$33,300
Tom Berryhill (R-Modesto)	\$32,169
Ted Gaines (R-Roseville)	\$30,061
Anthony Portantino (D-Pasadena)	\$27,100
Alberto Torrico (D-Fremont)	\$26,005
Jim Silva (R-Huntington Beach)	\$25,800
Connie Conway (R-Visalia)	\$25,650
John Perez (D-Los Angeles)	\$25,300
Cathleen Galgiani (D-Tracy)	\$23,050
Nathan Fletcher (R-San Diego)	\$22,050
Paul Cook (R-Yucaipa)	\$21,394
V. Manuel Perez (D-Cathedral City)	\$20,900
Fiona Ma (D-San Francisco)	\$20,719
Charles Calderon (D-Whittier)	\$19,568
Tony Mendoza (D-Artesia)	\$19,000
Curt Hagman (R-Diamond Bar)	\$18,900
Bill Berryhill (R-Stockton)	\$18,638
Cameron Smyth (R-Santa Clarita)	\$18,300
Roger Niello (R-Sacramento)	\$18,300
Jean Fuller (R-Bakersfield)	\$17,950
Ed Hernandez (D-Baldwin Park)	\$17,500

## Contributions From Alcohol Companies to California Legislators (continued)

Assembly Member	Contributions Accepted
Jim Nielsen (R-Biggs)	\$17,290
Mary Hayashi (D-Hayward)	\$17,119
Jared Huffman (D-San Rafael)	\$15,830
Diane Harkey (R-Laguna Niguel)	\$13,800
Robert Blumenfield (D-Van Nuys)	\$12,803
Alyson Huber (D-Lodi)	\$11,500
Kevin Jeffries (R-Riverside)	\$11,000
Jerry Hill (D-South San Francisco)	\$10,900
Jose Solorio (D-Santa Ana)	\$10,800
Jeff Miller (R-Orange)	\$10,800
Nancy Skinner (D-Berkeley)	\$10,500
Dan Logue (R-Chico)	\$10,300
Anthony Adams (R-Hesperia)	\$10,100
Ted Lieu (D-Torrance)	\$10,000
Stephen Knight (R-Lancaster)	\$8,800
Hector de la Torre (D-South Gate)	\$8,600
Chris Norby (R-Brea)	\$7,900
Wilmer Amina Carter (D-Rialto)	\$7,900
Ira Ruskin (D-Redwood City)	\$7,250
Mike Gatto (D-Los Angeles)	\$6,875
Dave Jones (D-Sacramento)	\$6,500
Brian Nestande (R-Palm Desert)	\$6,000
Anna Marie Caballero (D-Salinas)	\$4,807
Danny Gilmore (R-Hanford)	\$4,000
Marty Block (D-San Diego)	\$3,750
Pedro Nava (D-Santa Barbara)	\$3,000
Audra Strickland (R-Camarillo)	\$2,500
Van Tran (R-Costa Mesa)	\$2,000
Joan Buchanan (D-San Ramon)	\$2,000
Mike Eng (D-Monterey Park)	\$1,300
Sandre Swanson (D-Oakland)	\$1,000
Mary Salas (D-Chula Vista)	\$1,000
William Monning (D-Santa Cruz)	\$1,000
Bonnie Lowenthal (D-Long Beach)	\$1,000
Warren Furutani (D-Long Beach)	\$1,000
Paul Fong (D-Mountain View)	\$1,000

**Contributions From Alcohol Companies to California Legislators  
(continued)**

<b>Assembly Member</b>	<b>Contributions Accepted</b>
Mike Feuer (D-Los Angeles)	\$1,000
Tom Ammiano (D-San Francisco)	\$1,000
Norma Torres (D-Pomona)	\$750
Karen Bass (D-Los Angeles)	\$500
Juan Arambula (I-Fresno)	\$0
Jim Beall (D-San Jose)	\$0
Julia Brownley (D-Santa Monica)	\$0
Mike Davis (D-Los Angeles)	\$0
Chuck DeVore (R-Irvine)	\$0
Lori Saldana (D-San Diego)	\$0
Tom Torlakson (D-Martinez)	\$0
Mariko Yamada (D-Davis)	\$0

## 2010 Alcohol Contributions in Support of Proposition 26

Company or Trade Organization	Contributions Made
Anheuser-Busch InBev	\$925,000
Wine Institute	\$381,093
MillerCoors	\$350,000
Crown Imports LLC	\$130,000
California Beer and Beverage Distributors	\$100,000
E & J Gallo Winery	\$75,000
Brown-Forman	\$35,000
Diageo	\$25,000
Distilled Spirits Council of the U.S.	\$20,000
Family Winemakers of California	\$10,000
Lagunitas Brewing Company	\$10,000
Stone Brewing Company	\$10,000
The Wine Group	\$9,500
Mark Anthony Brands	\$9,250
Anchor Brewing Company	\$5,000
Sierra Nevada Brewing Company	\$5,000
Russian River Brewing Company	\$4,000
Firestone Walker Brewing Company	\$3,500
Allied Grape Growers	\$500
Lost Coast Brewery	\$500
North Coast Brewing Company	\$500
Port Brewing Company and the Lost Abbey	\$500
Triple Rock Brewing Company	\$333
Drake's Brewing Company	\$333
Rubicon Brewing Company	\$200
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$2,110,209</b>

**Vision**

Alcohol Justice envisions healthy communities free of the alcohol industry's negative impact.

**Mission**

Alcohol Justice, the industry watchdog, promotes evidence-based public health policies and organizes campaigns with diverse communities and youth against the alcohol industry's harmful practice.

**Board Members**

Linda Barry Dunn, MPP

Catherine Condon, MPH

Trent Cutler

Ericka O. Erickson, MPA

David Escobar, MA

Captain John Hanley

Steve Heilig, MPH

Annan Paterson, MS

Heidi Pay, MBA

Anthony Ramirez, MA

Ruben Rodriguez

Cathy Summa-Wolfe, MBA

Bruce Livingston, MPP (Executive Director/CEO)

To download this report - or for more information - please visit [alcoholjustice.org](http://alcoholjustice.org)

Copyright © Alcohol Justice 2011